

Noun Declension in Plautus

Arthur W. Hodgman

The Classical Review, Vol. 16, No. 6. (Jul., 1902), pp. 294-305.

Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0009-840X%28190207%291%3A16%3A6%3C294%3ANDIP%3E2.0.CO%3B2-E

The Classical Review is currently published by The Classical Association.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/journals/classical.html.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

 $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega\nu$ is due to the influence of $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega$ in the next sentence, just as $\pi o\lambda\lambda\acute{a}$ there may be due to $\pi o\lambda\lambda\acute{a}$ occurring twice in this. We may then adopt $\pi\acute{\iota}\nu\omega\nu$ without scruple.

ib. 45 ὁ δὲ Καλλίας, νὴ τὴν "Ηραν, ἔφη, τά τε ἄλλα ζηλῶ σε (i.e. Antisthenes) τοῦ πλούτου καὶ ὅτι κ.τ.λ. 'Αλλὰ μὰ Δί', ἔφη ὁ Νικήρατος, μὴ ζήλου· ἐγὼ γὰρ ἤξω παρ' αὐτοῦ δανεισόμενος τὸ μηδενὸς προσδεῖσθαι, οὖτω πεπαιδευμένος ὑπὸ 'Ομήρου...ὡς πλείστου πλούτου ἐπιθυμῶν οὖ παύομαι.

Antisthenes regum aequabat opes animis. His wealth consisted mainly in his wanting nothing. It would be quite incoherent for Niceratus to say that he would borrow this frame of mind, as Homer had taught him such a taste for opulence that his desires were unbounded. Should this be thought to mean that, as he could not gratify his desire for wealth, he would try the other tack and, like Antisthenes, extirpate his desires altogether, the explanation is inconsistent with $\mu \dot{\gamma}$ $\zeta \dot{\gamma} \lambda o v$, which he addresses to Callias and then justifies in these words. We must take $\tilde{\epsilon}\gamma\tilde{\omega}$ $\gamma\tilde{\alpha}\rho$ $\tilde{\eta}\xi\omega$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. as an indignant question of the kind illustrated in this Review, vol. 15, p. 386. What he says is 'Don't you have any such feeling towards him. Do you think that I, who love to count up my possessions and would fain be as wealthy as ever I can, am going to borrow his no-wants system ?'

8. 1 *Αρ', ἔφη, ὧ ἄνδρες, εἰκὸς ἡμᾶς παρόντος δαίμονος μεγάλου καὶ τῷ μὲν χρόνῳ ἰσήλικος τοῖς ἀειγενέσι θεοῖς, τἢ δὲ μορφἢ νεωτάτου, καὶ μεγέθει πάντα ἐπέχοντος, ψυχἢ δὲ ἀνθρώπου ἰσουμένου (Blomfield ἱδρυμένου), *Ερωτος, μὴ ἄν ἀμνημονῆσαι, ἄλλως τε καὶ ἐπειδὴ πάντες ἐσμὲν τοῦ θεοῦ τούτου θιασῶται;

This also gives an absurd sense, 'is it right for us not to forget Eros?', i.e. we ought to forget him, when he means of course 'I hope we shall remember him.' It

may be thought that the obvious remedy is to strike out $\mu\dot{\eta}$, but the position of $\check{a}\nu$ or any such particle, if right, then becomes very questionable, and in any case I think the remedy is to be found elsewhere. I have pointed out before now $(C.R.\ 10,\ 103)$ that in $Oecon.\ 4.\ 4$ a similar $\check{a}\rho a$ beginning a sentence has to be changed to $\check{a}\lambda\lambda\acute{a}$ to make sense, and that there is other evidence of the two words getting interchanged. Read then $\check{a}\lambda\lambda\acute{a}$ here and make the sentence a statement, not a question, 'but it is right that we should not forget.'

*Aν before ἀμνημονῆσαι is usually bracketed and certainly is not right. Εἰκός ἐστι with an infinitive has two senses: (1) 'it is likely,' (2) 'it is reasonable' or 'right.' Even when it bears the first sense, the infinitive very seldom indeed has an ἄν; when it bears the second, ἄν can hardly be appropriate or right. In this place ἄν may very well be due to the first letters of ἀμνημονῆσαι. But it may also be a corruption of δή, which would be quite in place here. I will not attempt to decide between the two possibilities.

There is, however, still an error in the passage. The editors seem satisfied with Blomfield's ίδρυμένου for ἰσουμένου, but they have been satisfied much too easily. The corruption is not a very likely one, nor is ίδρυμένος, which applies usually to statues, temples, &c., not to the god himself, a very proper word. When we look at $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} ... l \sigma o v$ $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu o v$, we remember first that the dative in η (written $\eta \iota$) and the accusative in $\eta \nu$ are constantly confused: then that an i and an $\epsilon \iota$ are really convertible. So we get $\psi \nu \chi \dot{\eta} \nu \dots$ εἰσουμένου and thereupon it requires very moderate powers of divination to see that Xenophon wrote $\psi \nu \chi \dot{\eta} \nu \dots \epsilon i \sigma \delta \nu \circ \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \circ \nu$, which is both more suitable in expression and in letters closer to the MS. tradition.

H. RICHARDS.

NOUN DECLENSION IN PLAUTUS.

The following statistics are based on repeated reading of the small Götz-Schöll text, and have been verified from the apparatus in the Triumvirate edition, with some reference to the text of Leo. I have wished to bring together some facts of importance to students of Plautus. The information now available on Plautine usage

is scattered and of widely varying value. Rassow's Index (1881) is useful, but it has gaps here and there; Neue has many omissions. I have aimed to make my statistics complete in each instance, but I cannot hope that nothing has escaped me in the range within which I have kept myself, and I shall be glad to have omissions pointed

out to me. I believe this account of Plautus' nouns will be found more extended than any that has hitherto been given, and I hope it will prove of service.

My aim has been, in general, to collect facts, rather than to explain or discuss them. It has served my purpose better to group them under the traditional five declensions, than to carry them one case at a time through the different stems.

FIRST DECLENSION.

1. With the question of -ā in the nom. s. goes that of -a in the nom. and acc. pl. of all neuters. It has become customary to say that neither can be proved for Plautus. It is true that -a predominates overwhelmingly (cf. praédă sít, Cas. 114, séruă súm, Pers. 615, ulmitríbă tú [?], Pers. 278a, condimentă súnt, Ps. 834), but the number of places where the manuscripts give us -ā is, though limited, large enough to command attention and respect, and to make the usual summary dismissal of the matter unsatisfactory. The list in C. F. W. Müller's Plaut. Pros. pp. 3-13, is nearly complete, and includes some instances that even he rejects. If we neglect the places that show z, \cup late in the verse, and others that are for various reasons unlikely or impossible, there still remain the following:-

(a) Greek nouns:-

epistulā, As. 762. Leonidā, voc., As. 740. Sosiā, Am. 438. Sosiā, Am. 439.

(b) Latin nouns:--

familiā, Trin. 251 (cretic). filiā, Men. 762.

ineptiā, Merc. 26.

morā, Curc. 461 (may be removed by hiatus).

tesserā, Poen. 1052.

Neuter pl., facinorā, Ps. 563.

Very doubtful is ancilla, Cas. 655.

(c) Adjectives :-

alterā, B. 1128 (bacchiac). alterā, Poen. prol. 85.

liberā, E. 498.

meā, Cas. 696 (bacchiac; haplography?). meā, Curc. 602.

Neuter pl., ceterā, As. 199.

factā, Pers. 761 (anap.).

omniā, Men. 900.

omniā. Mil. 1314.

omniā Mil. 1338.

More doubtful is auara, Truc. 459 (bacchiac).

(d) We may add these pronouns:—

eāque, Curc. 80. illā, S. 159. istā, Merc. 730.

Neuter pl., $ist\bar{a}$ (so B), As. 860. Of these 24 Leo prints 14.

2. Gen. s. in -as.

matrem familias, Am. 831, Merc. 406, 415.

matres familias, S. 98.

Others advocated by Ritschl, metri gratia, have not met with approval.

3. In two places only have the MSS. of Plautus preserved any trace of the genitive ending \bar{ai} : in verse 51 of the prologue to the Poenulus B reads comedi ais inodiosi for comoediai sin odiost; add Poen. 1045, Antidama[t]i—so A. This ending is, however, attested by the metre in many places; the small, conservative text of Götz and Schöll prints 28 instances of it, in nouns of various kinds, as well as in adjectives and possessive pronouns; e.g. meai, tuai (?), Aul. 121; nostrai, Mil. 519; magnai publicai, Mil. prol. 103 (attested by Marius Victorinus); malai, Merc. 692; cf. Rufinus, p. 561, 2, K. It is found even in Greek nouns: Antidamai, Poen. 1045 (but Antidamae, Poen. 1042, 1047); Charmidai, Trin. 359 (but Charmidi, Trin. 744); Periphanai, E. 246, 508, 635.

This -āī rarely suffers elision; doubtful instances are cited by Lindsay, L.L. p. 381. The usual gen. ending -ae also is not liable to synaloepha, but the -ae of the dat. s. (of different origin) is elided (Leo, Plaut. Forsch. ch. 6).

- 4. Final d, as a means of avoiding hiatus, is now generally rejected in all ablatives, except in the pronouns $m\bar{e}d$ and $t\bar{e}d$; these are good accusative forms also. Lindsay is inclined to admit also $r\bar{e}d$ in Aul. 141, Merc. 629, Ps. 19 (Archiv f. L.L. 10 [1898] 550); to these add As. 224.
- 5. The dialectic termination -as for the nom. pl., proposed to help the metre in various places (e.g. Am. 275, Trin. 539), has now been abandoned.
- 6. We find no instance of -abus in our plays. Priscian (G.L. ii. 293. 12, K) quotes S. 567 as showing filiis pro filiabus [so also Poen. 1128]; and he gives from an unnamed play of Plautus the words de gnatabus suis (Frag. Fab. Inc. v. 82).

deum 14

SECOND DECLENSION.

- 1. Two u's are avoided in the nom. and acc. s., so that we get e.g. séruŏs ést, Ps. 727
 (B, C, D) and Quom séruos sís serúom tibi,
- (B, C, D) and Quom servos sis servom tion, Pers. 291 (A, B), but oculus (e.g. Truc. 579) and oculum (e.g. Truc. 881).
- 2. Men. 957 gives us the nom. socerus; verse 1046 of the same play has socer. A nom. puerus has been conjectured into Truc. 906 (MSS. purus).

conciliabolum, Trin. 314, BCD.

- 3. The gen. s. of -io stems ends of course in a single i; e.g. flágití, Merc. 417.
- 4. Huic puello, Frag. 92, Lenones Gemini. So Festus, 249. 15; Priscian, 231. 21, reads hic puellus.
 - 5. Vocative singular.

 meus caseus, Poen. 367.

 huius caseus, Poen. 390.

 oculus, Poen. 394.

 oculus meus, Most. 311, Pers. 765.

 meus oculus, Cist. 53, S. 764.

 ocellus aureus, As. 691.

 meus ocellus, As. 664, Poen. 366.

pare Curc. 203, 392.

In As. 664 we find mi anime parallel with

The vocative puere is attested by MSS., by grammarians, or by limping metre.

meus ocellus; cf. Cas. 137, 138.

- (a) Needed for metre, i.e. before consonants or at the end of verse, 16 instances: As. 382; B. 577; Curc. 75; Merc. 912 (910); Most. 843, 947, 949, 965, 990, 991; Pers. 792; Ps. 170, 242b, 249, 252; Truc. 535.
- (b) Not needed by metre, but given by MSS., i.e. before vowels, 5 instances: As. 891; Merc. 930; Most. 308; Pers. 771; Ps. 241b.
 - (c) Merc. 922 (921) has púer, hoc pállium.
- (d) Merc. 976 shows nous amator, uétus, puér, apparently vocatives but possibly nominatives in apposition. So thensaurus, As. 655.
- 6. The genitive plural in -orum occurs in about 60 different words—nouns, adjectives, pronouns—with a total of 111 instances. The genitive in -um or -om is found in some 21 words, 76 times. Both forms occur for 12 words:

	(2) 2222222
	8 of these).
duom 1	duorum~2
$liberum \ 1$	liberorum 5
meum 8	meorum 3
nostrum (pron.) 9	nostrorum (pron.) 3
\mathbf{j} , $(\mathbf{adj}.\ 3)$,, (adj.) 1
nummum.12	nummorum 1
puerum 1	puerorum 1
seruom ? 1	seruorum 4
socium 1	sociorum 1
tuom~2	tuorum 7
uerbum~4	uerborum 6
uostrum (pron.) 7	uostrorum (pron.) 5
" (adj.) 1	(1 /
(0 /	

deorum 9 (synizesis in

Of the other words in -orum 36 occur once each, of the others in -um, 5 once each. The needs of the metre seem to be the only thing that determines the choice; in Most. 120 we get liberum, but in the next verse liberorum—both verses are bacchiac.

THIRD DECLENSION.

- 1. Nominative singular.
- (a) -os and -or. colos, Men. 828, Mil. 1179; color, Merc. 368.

honos, Trin. 697, Frag. 101 Pagon; honor, R. 195, Trin. 663.

labos, Capt. 196, Merc. 72, Trin. 271, Truc. 521; labor Curc. 219, R. 202.

lepos, As. prol. 13, Cas. 235 (voc.), Curc. 98 (voc.), R. 352; lepor is not found in Plautus.

odos, Capt. 815 (814), Curc. 99 (Nonius only), 105, Ps. 841, 842; odor [Curc. 99 MSS.], Poen. 1179.
Total, -os 17, -or 6.

(b) - $\bar{o}r$, - $\bar{e}r$.

Com-

Amatōr, B. 1163; amōr [Cist. 72 doubtful], Merc. 590, Most. 142, Trin. 260a; auctōr, Ps. 231; exercitōr, Trin. 226, 1016; gubernatōr, R. 1014; honōr, R. 195; imperatōr, Am. 229; oratōr, B. 981; seruatōr (change of speaker) Ps. 874; sorōr, B. 1140 a, E. 657 (so B, not A; voc., change of speaker), Poen. 364, 406, (change of speaker), 895, S. 7b; uxōr, As. 927, Merc. 800 (voc.), S. 140; Iuppitēr Am. prol. 94 (?) Curc. 27 (change of speaker); patēr, Aul. 779, As. 828 (voc., change of speaker). Total, -ōr 18 (22)?, ēr 1 (4)?

(c) Unsyncopated nominatives singular.

Opis, B. 893; Ops, Cist. 515.

Polluces, B. 894; Pollux not found in Plautus.

sortis, Cas. 380; sors six times in the Casina, and also in Most. 631.

(d) Miscellaneous.

haec canes, Trin. 172; Canes (i.e. Hecuba) Men. 718 (Ba, C, Da). Cf. fores, Most. 507 (B'CD).

iūus, Merc. 985, CD. nubis, Merc. 880.

lac, lact, lacte. Lacte is sure for B 19 (13), Men. 1089, Mil. 240; and it is possible for Truc. 903. On the other hand, a monosyllable is demanded in Am. 601 (MSS. all give lac); in B. 1134 (lact seems a trifle more probable than lac); and in Truc. 903 (here we may read lacte with elision, or lact, but probably not lac).

mers, merx, merces. In Plautus this word is usually found with an adjective, mala, proba, tua. Mers seems to be the common Plautine form, but it is nearly always changed to merx in some MS. or MSS. Mers seems sure in Cist. 727, Men. 758 (Nonius twice), Mil. 728 (Nonius only), Pers. 238, 586, Poen. 342; perhaps it is to be read in Mil. 894.

Mil. 1059 has merces, nom. s.; Ps. 954 mercist. In S. 519, A gives commersque.

[milis!! Mil. 946 (C). Truc. 874 (BCD'); cf. milix in late inserr.] opos, S. 573 (B).

uatis, Mil. 911 (BCD').

2. Genitive singular.

su<er>; sueris, Frag. 49, Carbonaria, corr. Turnèbe; sueres, Festus 330. 27. sui<s>, Most. 40. [neminis, Capt. 764; similarly abl. nemine, Cist. 87, Mil. 1062].

3. Accusative singular.

(a) $\cdot i$ stems.

capparim, Curc. 90. clauim, Most. 404 (F), 425. cratim, Poen. 1025. fartim, Most. 169. usque ad fatim, Men. 91, Poen. 534. febrim, Ps. 643. ietim, Capt. 184.

[imbrim, Ps. 102; so A, Studemund, but not quite certainly; by a curious interchange with a following word].

magudarim, R. 633.

messim, E. 718, Most. 161, Poen. 1019, Trin. 32 (A?), but messem, R. 637 and perhaps Trin. 32.

nauim, Cas. 557, Men. prol. 25, Mil. 1187, 1188, 1300, 1303. In the Mercator (vv. 75, 87, 92, 187, 194, 218, 257, 259, 461) C and D regularly give nauim, where B has

nauem; in 946 nauem alone is found. Elsewhere we get nauem, in 27 places.

rauim, Aul. 336, Cist. 304, Frag. 8 Artemo.

restim, Cas. 425, Pers. 815, Poen. 396, Ps. 88, R. 367; but restem, R. 1036.

securim, Aul. 95, Men. 858.

sitim, Curc. 116, Merc. 861. strigilim, S. 230 (228), but -em Pers. 124: turrim, B. 710.

(b) Miscellaneous:

itiner, Merc. 911, 929. tenus, B. 793.

4. Vocative singular.

Harpage, Ps. 665, but Harpax, 653.

5. Ablative singular.

(a) Ablatives in -i:

adfini, Trin. 622.

aedi, Poen. 847, but aede, B. 312.

aetati, Poen. 509.

aui, Cas. 616, E. 184, Ps. 762.

bili, Am. 727.

calcari, As. 708.

[Carthagini?, Cas. prol. 71].

ciui. Pers. 475.

columbari, R. 888.

fini, Men. 859.

fusti, As. 427, Aul. 454, Capt. 896, Cas. 967, 971.

luci, Am. 165, Aul. 748, Cas. 786, Cist. 525, Men. 1006, Merc. 255, S. 364; but luce, Am. 547, Curc. 182, Truc. 574.

lupanari, B. 454.

mani, Am. 253 (Nonius), Most. 767 (B before corr.), Poen. 650 (? A); but mane, E. 273, 314, Men. 1157, Mil. 503, Most. 534, Pers. 113, 114 (bis), Poen. 806, R. 418 (Lambin). The grammarians quoted in the Triumvirate edition on Most. 767, are pretty consistent in declaring that the ablative is mani, and the adverb mane. Add mane septimi, Men. 1157.

mari, abl., occurs 30 times,—with faint traces of -e in 4 places. Priscian expressly testifies to an ablative mare in R. 981.

militi, Ps. 616 (A, B) shown also by metre. In Mil. 1290, B gives militi, at end of verse; in Ps. 717 A has either militi or milite, with elision; and in Truc. 87, BCD show militi at end of verse. Besides these 4 in -i, we find 7 ablatives of miles in -e.

naui, 31 times, the only form.

parti, Men. 479, Pers. 72, but *parte*, Poen. 1285.

pectori? Merc. 345 (D). securi, Ps. 158. senapi, Truc. 315.

siti, Cas. 154, Curc. 119, Most. 193, R. 312.

sorti, Cas. 428. Theti, E. 32 (35). Veneri, Poen. 256. For *uesperi*, see p. 302. (b) Apparent instances of $-\bar{e}$. capitē, Most. 211. carnē, Capt. 914. faenorē, Curc. 508. famē, As. 145, Cist. 45, Most. 193, Pers. 318, S. 216. The ablative of fames, occurs 16 times—11 times at the end of a verse or half-verse, and 5 times elsewhere, with a long ultima in all the five. lucē, Merc. 255. marē, R. 981, according to Priscian. militē, Ps. 616 (C, D; -i, A, B). millē, B. 928. mortē, Mil. 707. Naucratē, Am. 860. ordinē, Ps. 761, 1312. parietē, Cas. 140. patrē, S. 71. pectorē, B. 628. pumicē, Pers. 41. temporē, E. 406. tramitē, Frag. 108, Parasitus Piger. uxorē, Cas. 318. This length of the -e is probably only apparent; Plautus doubtless wrote -i, confounding these with -i stems. Cf. luci and temperi; also Merc. 345 (D) (elided however), and B. 628. (c) Miscellaneous.

iungere, Men. 913, abl. of *iungus, a wagon; cf. Plato. Euthyd. 299, b.

[nemine, Cist. 87, Mil. 1062].

in peregre est. Frag. 40, Caecus uel Praedones.

rete, abl., with quantity of ultima indeterminate, As. 100 (B, D), R. 914, 1020, 1292, Truc. 37. In R. 1071 retā must be the right form. Priscian expressly attests rete in R. 1020.

6. Nominative plural.

There are at least 98 instances (in nouns and adjectives) of -is in the nom. pl. attested by the reading of one or more of the MSS. A B C D. This number would be raised to 108 if we included some readings due to E or J, and Nonius' quotation of Capt. 164. Of the 96 the Miles shows 32, the Truculentus 14, the Poenulus 9. I have found at least one instance in every play except the Aulularia (and the Vid. and fragg.). The forms are attested as follows:

By A 5 (Cas. 720, Poen. 433 bis, 994, 1208).

ABCD 1 (Truc. 182).

B 22.
BC 1 (Poen. 849).
BD 9.
BCD 47.
C 2.
CD 8.
D 3.

Such forms as puppis and restis are attested by Varro, L. L. 8. 66. The 98 instances in Plautus occur in consonant, as well as in -i stems. They have generally been disregarded by editors; but, aside from a few that may be open to suspicion, they are too numerous to be looked on as accidents or blunders. Plautus evidently confused the two kinds of stems elsewhere: cf. abl. s., pp. 297 sq.

FOURTH DECLENSION.

1. Genitive singular, 16 instances.

(a) gemiti, Aul. 722.

quaesti, Aul. 83, Most. 1107, Pers. 66, Poen. prol. 95.

senati columen, Cas. 536, E. 189. sumpti, Cas. 425, Trin. 250. tumulti, Cas. 649, Poen. 207. uicti, Capt. 855.

(b) cibus, Capt. 826, according to the testimony of Priscian, is a genitive; some take it as a nominative in apposition. Elsewhere we get only cibi (Cas. 778, Curc. 319, Vid. 42).

lectus, Am. 513, expressly attested as a genitive by Priscian.

quaestus, Ps. 1197, probably genitive, possibly nominative.

sumptus, Mil. 675, very doubtfully genitive

The ending -uis seems not to occur at all in Plautus.

Total, -i 12; -us 4?

2. Dative singular, 27 instances:— depeculatuí, E. 520.

despicatui, Men. 693.

extersuí, Curc. 578.

 $\underset{8}{frustratu\'i}, \ \text{Men. } 695.$

 $\underset{2}{\textit{gustu\'e}}$, Cist. 70.

ludificatuí, Poen. 1281.

memoratuí, B. 62.

pérditui ét praedátuí, Cist. 366. 1 quaestui, Poen. 626. súmptui, Mil. 672.

sumptuí, Mil. 740.

sumptui, Most. 125 (bacchiac). usuí, at verse end, Merc. 32, Mil. 600, 724, 771, Ps. 305; in interior of verse, Cist. 691, Curc. 501, Men. 358, Mil. 601, Ps. 1129.

anú, Aul. 466; ánu, Curc. 104.

 $qua\acute{e}stu$ et cúltu, R. 294.

Total, -ui, at end of verse or half-verse, 13, elsewhere, 10; -u 4.

3. Accusative singular.

algum, masc., Vid. 103 (Priscian).
ueru, neut., R. 134; but uerum, neut., Truc. 628, and, nom., R. 1302, 1304.

4. Ablative singular.

angiportu, Cist. 384, Most. 1046.

ex hac domu, Mil. prol. 126; this is the only fourth declension form of domus in Plautus.

hac noctu, Am. 272, 404, 412, 731, Mil. 381, Trin. 869. Noctu stands alone as adverb in 25 places. Cf. diu (as in Cas. 823) and nudius tertius (as in Most. 956-7). sine penu, Capt. 472.

5. Genitive plural.

mille passum, Men. 177, Truc. 334. The form *fluctuom*, sometimes quoted as Plautine, does not occur in Plautus.

6. Accusative plural.

ártuá, Men. 855 (MSS., Priscian, Nonius, metre).

FIFTH DECLENSION.

1. Genitive singular.

ren, Cist. 144 (omitted by editors), Men. 764, Pers. 65, Poen. 745, 1405, Ps. 1120, S. 379, Truc. 145, 223.

 $r\bar{e}$ - \bar{i} , Aul. 121, Merc. 692, Mil. prol. 103, Most. 89.

 $r\check{e}$ - \dot{i} , E. 203, Men. 323, 494, Merc. 964, R. 487.

 $r\check{e}(i)$, Aul. 68.

rě-i or ra, either possible, As. 855, Men. 812.

diei, Capt. 800.

dié, Ps. 1158 (so B; diem A).

 $di-\bar{e}-\bar{\imath}$, As. 253, Poen. 217, Trin. 811.

Fider, Aul. 617.

Fiden, Aul. 667. Either here or in 617 Charisius read Fide.

Fide-ī, Aul. 583.

fidē-ī, Aul. 121.

plebi, Ps. 748.

2. Dative singular.

ra. As. 182, 589, B. 947, Capt. 460, Cas. 773, Cist. 97, 184 (prol.), Curc. 532, Merc. 300 (re), 376 (379), 551, Mil. 764, 798, 802, 1093, Poen. 479, Ps. 58, 175, R. 1374, Trin. 38, 635, 757 (re), 930, 1123, Truc. 375, 394, 713.

r(21), Am. 674 (re?), B. 297, Men. 234, Most. 92, Pers. 333, 372, 393, Poen. prol. 49, Poen. 815 (re), Ps. 783, 1115, R. 717, S. 720 (718), Trin. 119, 230, 522, 865, Truc. 231.

 $r\check{e}(i)$, or $r\check{e}i$, either possible in Merc. 987. dien Am. 546.

dier, Capt. 464.

dié, Am. 276; so Serv. Dan. expressly for this verse; the MSS. of Plautus have diei.

dii, Merc. 4 (13); so Serv.; die ex dii, D,

die BCF.

 $die\hat{e}i$ or $die\hat{e}i$, either possible in Trin. 843.

fidên. Am. 391.

fidei, Aul. 615.

Fide, Aul. 676.

fiden, Cas. 1007.

 $fid\widehat{ei}$, Cist. 245. Fíde, Poen. 890 (-e BCD; -ei AF).

fid(e), Pers. 193 (A); Trin. 117 (B). $fid(\widehat{ei})$, Trin. 128, 142.

It is noteworthy how little evidence, relatively, we have for -e in the genitive and dative singular: gen. die, Ps. 1158; Fide, Aul. 617 or 667; dat. re, Am. 674, Merc. 300, Poen. 817, Trin. 757; die, Am. 276, Merc. 4 (13); Fide, Poen. 890, fide, Pers. 193, Trin. 117; and possibly pube, Ps. 126. Total in -e, gen. 2, dat. 10.

3. Ablative singular.

pube (dat. s. ?) Ps. 126.

 $r\bar{e}d$, see p. 295.

reapse, Camerarius in Truc. 815; cf. rempsam, Truc. 864 (so B), and Am. prol. 73.

4. Locative.

die crastini, Most. 881.

die septimi, Men. 1156. die septumei, Pers. 260. 5. Genitive plural. sorderum, Poen. 314.

VARIATIONS IN GENDER.

Nouns ordinarily masculine, appearing in Plautus as feminine, 4:

Nulla Acheruns, Capt. 999; MSS. and Nonius expressly. This is the only one of the 17 instances to show gender.

ulla amnis, Merc. 859; MSS. and Nonius expressly. The other (four) instances do not betray gender.

capiundas crines, Most. 226; B ante ras., and Nonius expressly; -dos other MSS. Mil. 792 the only other instance is indeterminate.

hanc rudentem, R. 938; MSS., Nonius expressly, gloss. The other instances (2) do not indicate gender.

2. Nouns ordinarily masculine, appearing here as neuter.

 $\it artua, \ Men. \ 855$; C, Nonius, Priscian, metre.

calor, acc. s., Merc. 860; BCD, Philargyrius; Nonius expressly says neuter, but his text reads -em. Occurs, in Plautus, only here.

capillum, nom. s., Most. 254; Nonius expressly; Plautine MSS. have -us. Six other instances indecisive.

caseum, nom. s., Frag. 103, Parasitus Medicus; Nonius expressly. The three other instances are masculine.

nasum, nom. s., Am. 444; MSS., Nonius. sagax nasum, acc. s., Curc. 110, MSS.

nasum, nom. s., Men. 168; MSS., Nonius incidentally.

nasum, nom. s. Mil. 1256; CD. Totals for this word, 4 neuter, 8 indeterminate.

pane, nom. s., Curc. 367; Nonius and Charisius, both expressly; F; -em B E J. On the other hand, panem six times, and binos panes, Pers. 471. Three indeterminate.

pugnum, nom. s., Capt. 796; Grammaticus de dubiis nominibus expressly says neuter, but the MSS. and Nonius have it masculine. Seven places show masculine gender, out of a total of 38.

sumbolum, Ps. 648 (-us A), 1001; clearly masc. in 5 places; masc. or neut. in 11 others. The fem. form (4 instances) differs in meaning.

uterum, nom. s., Aul. 691; B E, Nonius expressly. Seven places do not show gender.

3. Nouns ordinarily feminine, appearing here as masculine.

hoc aetate, Trin. 1090; Nonius expressly, but our MSS. have hac, unquestionably right.

in suom aluom, Ps. 823; MSS., Nonius expressly. Three indecisive instances.

unum calcem, Poen. 908; Nonius expressly, but our MSS. show unam.

sedatum cupidinem, Am. 840; MSS.

seuero fronte, Mil. 201. So A; other MSS.
-a. R. 318 gives contracta fronte, and six places do not indicate gender.

coloratilem frontem, Frag. 110, Plocinum. Nonius, 204, 26, says masculine, quoting as above; but in 149, 5 he quotes the verse for another purpose, giving coloratum; metre affords no sure help.

hoc luci, Am. 165, B D E F J.

luci claro, Aul. 748; Nonius expressly says masculine and is followed by F, but B D E J give clara.

lucis tuendi, Capt. 1008 (tuendae only in F, J).

cum primo luci, Cist. 525; MSS.

hoc luce, Curc. 182; MSS.

This word is feminine in Am. 547, luce clara, MSS; (and in Aul. 748 according to B D E J). There are 20 instances of lux and its cases; 14 are indeterminate, 5 masculine, and 1 feminine.

 Nouns usually neuter, here masculine: ueitalem aeuom, Poen. 1187; A B C D F. collus, Am. 445; Nonius expressly, followed by F; MSS. have collum.

collus, Capt. 357; MSS., Donatus.

collus, R. 888, Priscian; MSS. give collum, so Osbernus, and so apparently the metre; cf. Leo on R. 888.

hunc in collum, Pers. 691; MSS. collos, Capt. 902; MSS.

Of 29 instances of this word, 4 are masc., 1 masc. or neut., 24 indeterminate.

unum conclauem, Mil. 140 (prol.), B ante ras. C D.

.... claue, Cas. 881; so B. clauem without space, E.F. Most. 843 has conclauia, and the two remaining instances are indecisive.

crassus corius redditust, Frag. Fab. Inc. 5 (18); Paulus expressly.

tris corios bubulos, Poen. 139; MSS. and Nonius expressly. Totals, 2 masc., 4 neuter, 11 indeterminate.

dorsus totus, Mil. 397; BC, Nonius expressly. dorsum totum, A F; dor sũ totus, D. Three instances indecisive.

gútturém, Aul. 304; MSS., metre, Nonius, Priscian.

gútturém, Mil. 835; MSS., metre, Nonius.

gútturém, Trin. 1014; MSS., metre.

Capt. 468 has guttur nom. s., but gender is not indicated here or in the remaining two instances.

hi loci, Ps. 595.

quos locos, Trin. 931;

but loca, R. 227, Cist. 677, R. 111, Trin. 863, 864; add locarum, Capt. 385, and locarum, Cas. 120 (so A), Poen. 144 (BCD), Truc. 661 (BCDL).

pergrandem lucrum, Pers. 494; Nonius expressly, against all our MSS.

papauerem, Poen. 326; MSS.

papáuerém, Trin. 410; MSS., metre,

Nonius, Charisius.

uuidum retem, R. 942; so Priscian, 332. 14, but in 270. 15 he gives rete.

retem, R. 984; CDF, Priscian, Lambin; further details about this word on page 302. sīnus, bowl, nom. s., Curc. 82; MSS., Prisc., gloss.

sīnus, nom. s. R. 1319; MSS.

Two indecisive instances.

familiarem tergum, As. 319; Nonius expressly, followed by F; are, all other MSS.

tributus, nom. s. m., E. 227, 228.

uiscus, nom. s. masc. B 50; MSS., gloss. uiscus, nom. s. masc. Poen. 479; MSS., and Charisius without quoting. Four other instances indeterminate.

5. Nouns ordinarily neuter, here feminine.

fulmentas, Trin. 720.

ad labeas, S. 721; labiis, Mil. 93, indeterminate.

locarum, see above.

e murteta, Vid. 100; so Priscian. Porphyrio gives per murteta, and murteta, acc. pl., occurs in R. 732.

ramentā, B. 513, 518 [519 b], R. 1016; but cum ramento, B. 680.

senapis scelera, nom. s. f., Ps. 817. Expressly attested as feminine here by Prisc., Serv., Mar. Sacerd., Probus. MSS. are muddled, but agree with feminine gender. One other instance, indecisive.

6. Nouns masculine and feminine.

angues, Am. 1108. Nonius expressly says feminine, against our MSS. In 1116, alterum [anguem], u ex a, D. The word is masculine in Am. 1114, 1119, 1123, and indeterminate in 3 other places.

araneorum, As. 425; so Nonius; -arum

araneorum, S. 348; MSS., Nonius. araneas, Aul. 87.

araneas, S. 355; so C D F (-as or -os? A)

araneis, indet., Aul. 84.

canis, and its cases, occurs 26 times; 11 as fem., 2 as masc., 13 indeterminate. It is therefore normally feminine in Plautus, and is used as feminine even when it refers to a man (Most. 41, Poen. 1236, Trin. 172). It refers to a woman in Men. 838, 936; and is feminine with only general reference in Capt. 485, Most. 850, 854, Poen. 1234, Ps. 319 (Nonius has masc.), S. 139. It is masc. only twice, and in both cases it refers to a man (B. 1146, Mil. 268).

dies, as feminine, occurs at least 9 times: As. 534, 838, E. 545, Ps. 58, 279, 301, 374, 623, Vid. 90.

feminam leonem, Vid. 111, 112, Philargyrius. Similarly ciui femina, Pers. 475; and with distinctly feminine adjectives, rabiosa femina canis, Men. 838, and musca nulla femina, Truc. 284.

scrobes, masc., Am. Frag. 6, Priscian twice expressly, and other grammarians; also Aul. Frag. 3, Nonius expressly.

uesper, uespera, see p. 302.

7. Masculine, feminine, neuter.

penus annuos, Ps. 178; Priscian. omne penus, nom., Ps. 228.

aliud penus, acc., Capt. 920; six instances indeterminate.

8. Nouns masculine and neuter.

algum, acc. s. masc., Vid. 103, Priscian; algu, abl., Most. 193, R. 582, indeterminate. calamistrum meum, nom. s., Curc. 577, MSS. and Charisius. Occurs nowhere else in Plautus.

pilleum quem, Frag. Dub. 6, Nonius 220. 11, expressly; pilleum, voc. neuter, Frag. 69, Cornicula; so Nonius 220. 11 expressly. Indeterminate in Am. 462.

9. Nouns feminine and neuter.

praesepis suas, Cas., prol. 57, MSS.

praesepem suam, Curc. 228. Expressly stated to be fem. by Charisius and Nonius; B reads suum.

praesepis meas, R. 1038. Nonius quotes it as fem. but assigns it to the Curculio. B also has meas.

haec praesepes mea est. Frag. Inc. Fab.

67, Marius Sacerdos, expressly.

I have discussed this matter of Variation of Gender from a different point of view in the Proceedings of the American Philological Association, vol. 32, 1901, p. lxxxiii, from which I may here quote the following paragraphs:

We have coincident testimony of

Metre, MSS., and Grammarians in 10 cases Metre and MSS. 7 Metre and 1 Grammarians (+2 fragg.)MSS. and 17 Grammarians MSS. alone 23Grammarians alone 13 (+8 fragg.)Metre 20 MSS. 57 Grammarians 51 71 (+10)

Of eighty-one instances of variation in gender in Plautus, all but six [aetate, m., capillum, n., lucrum, m., murteta, f., pugnum, n., tergum, m.], can be paralleled by testimony of various sorts, oftentimes coincident, more rarely of one kind alone. The evidence from other authors consists, overwhelmingly, of that of writers of plebeian Latin. We should be inclined to look with rather less suspicion than we might have imagined on the testimony of the later grammarians in this matter of gender. In particular, Nonius probably blundered in only four instances out of thirty-four. It would seem that, on the whole, the variations of gender in Plautus, are as well assured as most points in Plautus can be.

SINGULAR FORMS USED FOR PLURALS.

castrum Poenorum, Frag. Fab. Inc. 76, Plural forms occur five times. Servius.

mea delicia, Poen. 365. So Gellius and Nonius; -ae, A B C D.

me < a > delicia, Truc. 921, B C D. deliciae, dat., R. 429.

As epithet in plural 5 times: Most. 15, Pers. 204, Ps. 180, 227, S. 742.

foris, nom. s., Am. 496, Aul. 665, B. 234, 1057, Cas. 163, 874, Merc. 699, Mil. 154 (prol.), 528, 985, 1198, Most. 507, 1062, Pers. 300, 404, S. 87, total 16.

forem, B. 833, Cas. 893. This word occurs in the plural about 89 times, exclusive of the adverbs foris and foras.

Variations in Declension.

angiportum, neuter, Ps. 961, Most. 1045, Pers. 678, Ps. 961; angiporto, abl., As. 741, Ps. 971; angiporta, Cist. 384, Ps. 1235; angiportis, Pers. 444,—all these are apparently of the second declension; against them stand ex angiportu, Cist. 124, and in angiportu, Most. 1046 (in the latter place the reading of A is doubtful, -u or -o).

araneorum, As. 425; so Nonius; MSS. give -arum.

araneorum, S. 348, MSS. and Nonius. araneas, Aul. 87.

araneas, S. 355, C D F; A doubtful. araneis, Aul. 84, indet.

domu, Mil. prol. 126, is the only fourth declension form of domus, and occurs but this once; domo is very common.

fulmentas, fem. not neut, Trin. 720. ad labeas, S. 721, (labiis, Mil. 93, indet.). locus, in plural, see page 301. murteta, see page 301.

hac noctu, Am. 272, 404, 412, 731, Mil.

381, Trin. 869; nocte is common. paniculum, m. or f., Mil. 18. pecus, n., 1; pecudem, etc., 4; pecu, pecua, 5.

penus annuos, Ps. 178. peni, gen., Ps. 608, Trin. 254.

penum, acc. Capt. 771, Men. 120, 801; but

omne penus, nom., Ps. 228, aliud penus, acc., Capt. 920, and

sine penu, Capt. 472.

On this word see Gellius, N. A. 4. 1. ramentā, abl., B. 513, 518 (519b) R. 1016. cum ramento, B. 680.

rete, and its cases, occurs 15 times; 8 of For R. 942, the instances are normal. Priscian attests a masc. acc. s, uvidum retem, though elsewhere he quotes the same verse with rete. In R. 984, C, D, F, Priscian, Lambin, give retem, acc. s. In R. 900 we get retiam, acc. s., in Priscian and in ed. princ., the MSS. giving retia acc. pl. In R. 1071 we find retia prehendi (so practically BCD), but the metre requires reti appre-hendi. We have the ablative rete expressly attested for R. 1020 by Priscian, and it occurs also in R. 914, 1292.

In As. 238, B D F give syngrapham; in 5 other instances we get syngraphum, Nonius expressly stating that Cicero used the feminine, and that Plautus used the masculine in As. 746.

uasum, Truc. 53, 54; uas, B. 202, R. 986. ueru, uerum, see page 299.

uesper hic, B. 1205.

uesperum, 10 instances (Mil. 503 -am F; Most. 767 -am grammatici).

uesperi, 5 instances. de uesperi suo, Mil. 995.

prima uespera, Curc. 4 (cf. Mil. 503, Most.

767). Compare uesperugo, Am. 275, and uesperna, Frag. Fab. Inc. 45, Paulus.

Greek nouns show a good deal of wavering. They have been treated by H. M. Hopkins, in Harv. Studies, ix. 96, and I shall notice only a few here.

Achilles (3 instances), Achillem (2), Achilli, gen., B. 938. The first syllable of this word is thought to be long in Merc. 488 and Mil. 1054; and it may be long in the 4 other places.

architectus (4), architecte (2), architectis (1); architectoném twice at end of verse. Text corrupt in Mil. 919.

Calchas, nom., Merc. 945; Calcha, abl., Men. 748.

Callicles (10), Callicli, gen., Trin. 1183, Callicli, dat., Trin. 582, 899, Calliclem (6), Callicle, abl. (2),

Charmides (13), Charmidai, Trin. 359, Charmidi, gen., Trin. 744, Charmidem (4), Charmide, abl. (2).

Euripidi, gen., R. 86.

Herculis, gen., R. 161, Herculi, gen., Pers. 2, R. 822.

Philolaches (17)

Philolachetis (1) Philolachis (1?)
Philolacheti (1) (2?) Philolachi (1)
Philolachetem (2?) Philolachem (3?)
Philolachete (1) Philolache (1)
Thetis, Truc. 731, Theti, abl., E. 32 (35).
Tranio, nom. (18), Tranioni (1), Tranione (1); but Tranium, acc., Most. 560.

Nouns, Adverbs, and Prepositions.

usque ad fatim, Men. 91, Poen. 534. hoc commodum, Trin. 1136. tueis ingratieis, Merc. 479.

amborum ingratiis, Cas. 315. ingratiis as an adverb, ten times.

a mani, Am. 253 (-i Nonius; -e BDEJ); Most. 767 (gramm. testimony strong for -i, MSS. -e); a mane, Most. 534, MSS.; Mil. 503, MSS.; mane septimi, Men. 1157; and mane ten times as an adverb.

Meritissumo eius, As. 737; cf.meritissumo E. 430. merito, clearly a noun, 10 instances; clearly an adverb, Cas. 182; merito magis; indeterminate, 23. Similar is immerito.

hac noctu, Am. 272, 404, 731, Trin. 869. noctu hac, Am. 412, Mil. 381.

noctu as adverb, 24 times.

nunc ipsum, B. 940.

in peregre est, Frag. 40, Caecus vel Praedones (Charisius).

osse fini, (fini as preposition), Men., 859.

intendi tenus, (tenus as noun), B. 793.

SYNCOPATED AND UNSYNCOPATED NOUNS.

balineae and its cases, As. 357, Merc. 127, Most. 756, Pers. 90, Poen. 976, R. 383, Trin. 406; balineator, R. 527. Total unsyncopated, 8; but balneator, Poen. 703, Truc. 325.

columen, Am. 367, Cas. 536, E. 189, Most. 765, Trin. 85; culmen seems not to occur. contro[uo]rsiam, Men. 593.

disciplina, so accented, occurs 12 times. In As. 201 we find disciplina, with the second syllable apparently long; but mute and liquid cannot make position in Plautus, so it is necessary to read here discipulina. In Most. 154 the u is attested by Ba C D, though it is not needed for the metre. In 11 of the 13 instances the metre would permit the longer form; but in Cas. 652, 657, disciplinam ends a bacchiac tetrameter, so that -u-would be impossible there.

expurigationem, Am. 965, Merc. 960.

fauitores, Am. prol. 67, 78, 79.

laridum, Capt. 847 903, 907, Men. 210. nautis, Men. 226; nauta occurs only twice, Mil. 1335, 1430, where it must be trochaic.

opificina, Mil. 880.

populus, and its cases, occurs 45 times. Eleven times at the end of a verse (or hemistich) we get the forms popli, poplo, poplum, shown by metre, and by more or less clear proof of them in the MS. readings. popli As. 655 (hemistich), Aul. 285, Cas. 536, Most. 15, Pers. 408; poplo, Am. prol. 101, 190 (hemistich), 259, Ps. 126, R. 1251; poplum, As. prol. 4. In S. 490, Leo prints popli, on account of Meyer's Law; in Ps.

178 the Götz-Schöll edition prints poplo in the interior of an anapaestic verse; in Most. 124, Poen. 227, Ps. 1129, Leo reads poplo at the beginning of bacchiac verses—but all these seem unnecessary.

Quadrup < u > eator, Pers. 70.

utdulus occurs 53 times. In R. 1130 the metre demands the form uidlus, and in R. 936, 1106, 1127, uidlum.

perīculum, and its cases, occurs 48 times. In 36 places we must read periclum. In 10 instances we find the full form at the end of an iambic verse or hemistich: As. 457 (hemistich), B. 599, Capt. 91, 687, 740, Men. 199, 201, Poen. 633, R. 349 (hemistich), Trin. 858. Twice we seem to get the longer form in the interior of a verse: in Pers. 524 A and F read periculo, but BCD give periclo; it would seem to be better to read periclo with following hiatus at penth. caesura [cf. Men. 841,—shall we read oraculo with B and avoid hiatus, or oraclo with hiatus?].

In Frag. Fab. Inc. 53 (Porphyrio) we get periculum, apparently filling the second dipody of a senarius, but it is just possible that we have here parts of two verses, one ending with periculum.

pōculum occurs 12 times, but it does not show the regularity of usage that periculum does. The full form ends verse or hemistich 4 times, lies within an anapaestic verse in Ps. 947, occurs in a corrupt passage in Pers. 775. We also find it four times in the interior of a verse (Curc. 368, S. 272, 725; Truc. 43), where we get twice what we should there expect, the shorter form (As. 771, Curc. 359).

sacculum is found 5 times; the full form occurs in Trin. 283, cretic verse, and the shorter (if we accept Leo's reading for Aul. 126) in the other four.

spectaculúm ends the senarius Poen. 209, and spectacla occurs normally in the interior of Curc. 647.

tabernáculúm, end of verse, Trin. 726; tabérnacló, interior of verse, Am. 426, 428. This word is formed as if from *tabernāre.

uehicla, Aul. 168, 502, Pers. 782, all med. versu.

uincla appears 10 times in the interior of the verse, and uinculis once in cretic verse, Capt. 204.

Other words with -tlo- suffix occurring at the end of a verse are cenáculó, Am. 863 (only here); cubículó, Am. 808, As. 767 (also in full form med. versu Cas. 965, 769, and—cretic verse—Most. 696); iaientáculó, Curc. 72, 73 (perhaps only twice); propugnáculá, B. 710, Mil. 334 (twice only); redimículúm, Truc. 395. The full form occurs, med. versu, in these words: cubiculum, see above; curriculum, 12 times; deridiculi, 3 times; deuortaculum, Capt. 523; piaculum, Truc. 223; ridiculi, etc., 3 times, and twice in anapaests; and adminiculum in the corrupt Most. 130.

Alcumena, 29 instances, all with help vowel. [The only occurrence of the short form is in the non-Plautine Arg. I, cf. Alcumenas, Arg. II.] Alcumeus, Capt. 562. Coculitum, Curc. 393. Cucino, Men. 854. drachuma, Ps. 86, 88, 91, 93 (808 ??), Trin. 425; drachmam, Merc. 777. mina occurs very frequently and is the only Latin form of the word. techina, B. 392, Capt. 642, Most. 550, Poen. 817. Cf. Ps. 1096, contechnatus.

lārŭa, etc., Am. 777, Aul. 642, Capt. 598, Cas. 592, Merc. 981, 983 a; so lārŭātus, adj., Am. Frag. 7, Frag. 12, Men. 890, Frag. Inc. Fab. 75.

 $m\bar{\imath}l\check{u}\check{o}s$, Aul. 316, 319, Poen. 1292, R. 1124; and adj. $m\bar{\imath}l\check{u}\bar{\imath}nus$, Men. 212, Ps. 852

ăuōncŭlus, Aul. prol. 35; *ăuŏnculus*, Aul. 685, 778, 782, 799.

cau[]lator, Truc. 683; căuīllator, Mil. 642; cauĭllátiones, S. 228 (226), Truc. 685

clauator, R. 805 (cf. Poen. 530). nauis, B. 797, Men. 344.

divitiae, i.e., either the full or the syncopated form possible, 13 instances; ditiis, at verse end, 4 instances (Capt. 170, Poen. prol. 60, R. 542, Trin. 682); divitiae, i.e. surely unsyncopated, 23 instances.

duelli, etc., As. 559, Capt. prol. 68, Truc. 483; duellatores, Capt. prol. 68; duellica, E. 450; perduelles, Am. 250, 642? Cist. 201 (prol.), Mil. 222, Ps. 583, 589. Against these stand duello, Am. 189; and bella (10 instances), bellator (10), Bellona (2).

MISCELLANEOUS QUANTITY.

Acheruns and Acherunticus show the first syllable long in 17 instances; short (but possibly so only by iambic shortening) in 2: Poen. prol. 71, 831; indeterminate in Truc. 749. This is thought to be a result of some peculiar pronunciation of the Greek chi: some editors write Accheruns.

On Achilles, see page 303.

bacchanāl, acc. s., Aul. 413 (411); -al indeterminate in Aul. 408, B. 53, Mil. 858. Castōris Curc. 481.

coĕpulónus, Pers. 100 : cf. coémptiónalem, Bacch. 976 (B, com-CD).

cōr., voc. s., Poen. 390 b (cf. Lindsay, L.L.

Hannibālem, Hasdrubālem, Hamilcārem, Frag. Inc. Fab. 46; cf. Muthumbālis, Poen. 997.

hominis, homonis, etc.:

Total instances of oblique cases of homo, 518—

Must have homin- ... 382
May have homin- or homin- ... 71
homin- with transposition or change of words restores metre ... 33
homin- alone restores metre ... 32

518

The 32 instances are As. 473, 779; Aul. 111, 226; B. 573, 633, 981; Capt. 333; Men. 82, 89, 98, 223, 304, 489, 709, 713, 958, 961; Mil. 452; Most. 781; Poen. 89, 671, 730; Ps. 153, 873; R. 11, 829; S. 171, 576; Trin. 1018; Truc. 607 (?), 957.

messīs ? nom. s., R. 763 milēs, Aul. 528.

We find the words Philippus (rex) 3 times, Philippi, coins, 15 times, Philippi, adj. 15 times, and Philippei, adj. 6 times, 39 instances in all. The name of the man occurs twice (Aul. 86, 704) with the scansion Philipp-, and once (Pers. 339) with the scansion Philipp-. Of the second, third, and fourth words all 36 instances show the measurement Philipp-, which evidently reproduces the accentuation of the Greek original.

pōlypum, R. 1010; indet. in Aul. 198. rūbrica, Truc. 294. rūdentem, R. 1015. [sincipūt /, Men. 506]. stātue, Mil. 1389; cf. Mil. 206. strīgibus, Ps. 820. [Surācúsas, Men. prol. 37]. [Tārenti, Men. prol. 39]. tēgillum, R. 576. terrunci, Capt. 477.

trapezita, and its cases, occurs 14 times in 11 places with the accentuation trapézita (As. 438, Capt. 193, 449, Curc. 345, 420, 559, 618, 721, E. 143, Ps. 757, Trin. 425), and in 3 with the accentuation trápezíta (Curc. 341, 406, 712). To account for the length of the first syllable in these three places, Ritschl suggested that the word should there be written tarpezita In the same way we get ad phrygionem, five times in the Menaechmi, but phrygio, Aul. 508. Cf. proculenam, Mil. 1060, and pristinum, 3 instances (and even pristrinum, 6), for pistrinum, 2; here no difference in quantity results from the metathesis. Praestigiae, etc. (4) is still different—merely a reduction from praestrigiae, etc. (3).

ARTHUR W. HODGMAN.

OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS. January 11, 1902.

PLAUTINUM.

Stichus 353-4:

PIN. Age tu ocius, pinge, humum consperge ante aedis.

Multa sunt de hoc loco scripta, neque minus multa quae critici coniecerunt; finge: terge: stringe: tinge; quorum nullum satis probatum videtur; quam ob rem in editionibus recentioribus revocata est librorum lectio (cf. Leo, Goetz-Schoell edit. stereot.), quamquam sine dubio haec depravata est.

Nobis videtur Plautus scripsisse:

'age tu ocius; perge, humum consperge ante aedis'.....

Verbum pergere praeter solitam vim (iter vel aliud quippiam iam coeptum continuare, prosequi, perseverare) habet etiam

illam festinandi facere aliquid, quod nondum coeptum est, sed quod iam coeptum, ne effectum dicam, esse volumus, ut locus similis e Bacchidibus docet, v. 870 sq. 'Em illuc pacisce, si potes; perge obsecro, pacisce quid vis. 'cf. $69\overline{5}$: 'perge, ac facile ecfeceris.' -Vocabulum perge cum sequente consperge conficit adnominationem, quae figura apud Plautum usitatissima est. Stich. 295: 'a portu adporto.' 404 'simul Mercurio qui me in mercimoniis iuvit.' Men. 257: 'geminum dum quaeres gemes.' 610: 'palla pallorem incutit.' Poen. 137: 'tuae blanditiae sunt mihi gerrae germanae, σαὶ δὲ κολλῦραι λύραι': et aliis locis sescentis (cf. Leo, Analecta Plautina II.).

THEOPHANES KAKRIDIS.

Athenis.

ON HORACE, EPODE XV., 1—10; AND ON VIRGIL, AENEID IX., 339.

I WONDER whether Mr. Housman's 'elucidation' of Horace, Epode xv., 1—10 (at pages 404-6 of the last volume of *The Classical Review*) has commended itself to many of his readers, already dissatisfied, I presume, with the various interpretations which he has justly condemned.

NO. CXLIII. VOL. XVI.

To me 'lupus,' in the line 'dum pecori lupus et nautis infestus Orion,' seems corrupt. What have the predatory habits of a wolf to do with celestial appearances or with atmospherical conditions, extremes of which are here illustrated by allusions to Orion and to Apollo? I can imagine only a mad